

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,484	10/20/2000	Kia Silverbrook	BGA02US	8620
24011	7590 12/31/2003		EXAMINER	
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
BALMAIN,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALIA	4	3729	13	
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		5 m				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/693,484	SILVERBROOK, KIA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rick K. Chang	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	(aa.mha.r. 2002					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 N</u> 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 	action is non-final.					
,— —		neacution as to the marits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle; 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal (/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grabbe (US 5,173,055).

Grabbe discloses at least one receiving zone 10 in the middle of Fig. 1, a plurality of island-defining portions (10 outside of 10 in the middle of Fig. 1), a serpentine member (area between 10) and 10 are formed by forming recesses.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grabbe (US 5,173,055) in view of P. R. Theobald et al (US 3,723,176).

Grabbe discloses electrical contacts (tip of 18s) and an electrical terminal (16).

Grabbe fails to disclose depositing a metal layer to form a circuitry layer.

Theobald discloses depositing a metal layer to form a circuitry layer (50).

Art Unit: 3729

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Grabbe by depositing a metal layer to form a circuitry layer, as taught by Theobald, for the purpose of preventing corrosion and increasing electrical conductivity.

5. Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grabbe (US 5,173,055) in view of Fukutomi et al (US 6,568,073).

Grabbe fails to disclose etching the metal.

Fukutomi discloses etching the metal (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Grabbe by etching the metal, as taught by Fukutomi, for the purpose of providing burr-free surfaces.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 11/18/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner thanks the applicant for complying with Paragraph No. 11 in the last Office Action. This greatly helps with understanding the applicant's invention. Furthermore, Examiner thanks the applicant for catching a minor typo error.

Since Grabbe teaches all the steps as recited in claims 1 and 8, it must an integrated circuit carrier.

Art Unit: 3729

A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention "for receiving an integrated circuit" must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Fig. 1 shows around each receiving zone 10 there are six serpentine members.

Interviews After Final

8. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

9. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as

Art Unit: 3729

originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 3729

Page 6

RC

December 30, 2003